

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Amended Bills to Review

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
1668 SHB	Concerning community custody.	<p>> RCW 72.09.310 - Directs DOC to exercise discretion when deciding to recommend to PAO the charging of Escape from Community Custody.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.633 - removes 'up to 30 days confinement' requirement when DOC sanctions violators of community conf conditions.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.737 - allows DOC to sanction indiv to >30 days per hearing if the indiv poses a substantial risk to public safety or has absconded for a prolonged period of time or under concerning circumstances.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.525 - eliminates scoring rules for Escape from Community Custody.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.722 - requires beh health service providers to provide updates on indiv's compliance with treatment plan when MH or SUD treatment is a condition.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.714 - directs DOC to make sure EHM has real time monitoring, steel reinforced ankle bracelets with sensor technology that send immediate tamper alert, has victim notification technology, and alcohol monitoring bracelets that use transdermal analysis.</p> <p>> New Section - requires DOC to develop and implement a system to track scheduled polygraph test imposed on supervised indiv as part of monitored compliance.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.515 - increases rank of Escape from Community Custody from SL 2 to SL 5</p> <p>SGC Position: CON (Gov position differs) Reason(s): Increase ranking Escape from Comm Cust from SL 2 to SL 5 (above Escape 1)</p>	Davis	2/28/25 Ref'd to Rules 2/28/25 2nd sub passed H Approps 2/20/25 1st sub passed H CS
1180 SHB	Implementing certain recommendations from the sex offender policy board concerning the criminal offense of failure to register.	<p>> RCW 9.94A.515 - Removes FTRSO - 2nd or subsequent as SL 2 offense.</p> <p>> RCW 9A.44.132 - Eliminates language pertaining to number of sex offense convictions for adult class C FTR-SO. Eliminates the class B level FTR-SO - second or subsequent. PAO's are encouraged to take a diversionary approach when receiving first time referrals.</p> <p>> RCW 9A.44.140 - Clarifies that when an adult is currently convicted of any sex/kidnapping offense and has one or more prior convictions "requiring registration", they shall qualify for lifetime registration.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.030 - Eliminates from the definition of sex offense FTR-SO if the person had been convicted of FTR-SO prior to 6/10/2010.</p>	Farivar Goodman	2/20/25 Ref'd to Rules 2 review 2/17/25 1st sub passed H CS

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p>> RCW 9A.44.144 - Extinguishes the legal obligation to register on the effective date of this section if a person has an existing legal obligation to register as a SO due to conviction for sex offense as defined by RCW 9.94A.030 as in effect prior to this effective date. Requires each registering agency by 8/1/25 to review and remove all persons from SO registry who no longer have a duty to register.</p> <p>> New Section - the act applies to all charged pending or filed on or after the effective date of this section alleging the person committed FTR-SO under RCW 9A.44.132 where the offense date occurred prior to the effective date of this section.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.701 - For sentences >1yr, the court shall sentence an indiv to 2 yrs of comm custody for a 2nd or subsequent adult FTR-SO.</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.702 - For sentences <1yr, court may impose up to 1 yr comm cust for a <u>first Failure to Register (all)</u>. Directs the court to impose 2 yrs of comm cust for 2nd or <u>subsequent Failure to Register (all)</u>.</p> <p>> <u>RCW 9.94A.501 - Directs DOC to supervise, regardless of risk classification, those with current conviction for Failure to Register (all) including those sentenced to <1yr.</u></p> <p>> RCW 72.09.270 - Directs DOC to include in a reentry plan identification of barriers to registration and incl resources and tools <u>utilize existing resources and methods</u> to support compliance and improve function in the community for indiv committed to DOC for a sex offense or FTR offense.</p> <p>> New Section - directs WASPC to review model policy developed under RCW 4.24.5501 and model policy for WA LE regarding adult/juv SO registration and community notification to identify opportunities to utilize technology and streamline registration processes. They must report findings 12/1/25 and update model policy by 6/1/26.</p> <p>SGC Position: PRO Reason(s): Have deferred to SOPB recommendations on FTR twice. Many SGC members believe FTR is an administrative violation, not a crime.</p>		

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
5227 SSB	Concerning offenses involving child sex dolls.	<p>> RCW 9.68A.011 - adds definitions of 'child sex doll'.</p> <p>> New Section - Creates a new offenses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Trafficking a Child Sex Doll, class B felony (each doll constitutes a separate offense) - Mfg a Child Sex Doll, class B felony (each doll constitutes a separate offense) - Sending/Bringing into State a Child Sex Doll, class B felony (each doll constitutes a separate offense) - Possession of a Child Sex Doll, <u>first offense = GM; Second or Subsequent offense = class C class-B felony</u> (each doll constitutes a separate offense) <p>> New Section - establishes a \$1,000 fee for each separate conviction which shall be deposited into the child rescue fund created in RCW 9.68A.200</p> <p>> RCW 9.68A.120 - adds child sex doll to items subject to seizure and forfeiture</p> <p>> RCW 9.94A.515 - ranks the new offenses as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mfg a Child Sex Doll at <u>SL3</u> SL7 - Sending/Bringing into State a Child Sex Doll at <u>SL3</u> SL7 - Trafficking a Child Sex Doll at <u>SL3</u> SL7 - Possession of a Child Sex Doll at <u>SL3</u> SL6 <p>SGC Position: OTHER w/concerns</p> <p>Concerns: consider proportionality of the punishment with other offenses, esp other similarly ranked sex offenses. Mfg Sex Doll should be considered more serious than the other new offenses.</p> <p>Upon request from committee chair, SGC recommended ranking all offenses at SL 3, with the exception of Possession of a Child Sex Doll, which would be a GM for first offense and ranked class C felony for subsequent offenses.</p>	Orwall	2/7/25 Ref'd to rules 2/6/25 1st sub passed S L&J

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Amended Bills to Review – Juvenile Population-Related

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
1322 2SHB	Improving outcomes for individuals adjudicated of juvenile offenses by increasing opportunities for community placement options and refining procedural requirements.	<p>> RCW 13.40.160 - Changes that juvenile standard range dispositions are determined according to the juvenile sentencing grid (RCW 13.40.0357) and this section for <u>Child Mol 1, Hit & Run - Death, ROC 2, Rape 2/3, and offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction. Murder 1/2, Rape 1, Assault 1 committed 16+ yrs, Drive-by Shooting committed at 16+ yrs, and Kidnapping 1 committed 16+ yrs.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Adds that, except for those offenses, prior to sentencing a juv to a standard range under Option A for a term exceeding 30 days, the court shall make an indep filing that commitment to an institution <u>DCYF</u> is needed because a community-based placement would not adequately protect the community. A stipulation along is not sufficient to support indep finding. Factors to be considered by court are listed. - If the court does not make a finding for commitment to <u>DCYF</u> an institution, the court may <u>impose one or more local sanctions, in addition to a determinate sent of place the youth on elec monitoring for up to min of standard range while on comm supv. The court may also impose a suspended disposition.</u> - If the court does make a finding for commitment to <u>DCYF</u> an institution, the court must maintain concurrent juris over the youth, along with DCYF, <u>for the purposes of conducting and must hold review hearings as described in RCW 13.40.185(3) and any comm supv that is ordered if the juv is released at the review hearing.</u> - <u>If sentenced to determinate sent of EM for up to juv's min standard range and violated any terms of EM, the court may sanction pursuant to RCW 13.40.200, or revoke the EM and order conf up to the remainder of the determinate EM sent previously imposed. Upon completion of sanction, juv may resume EM. Any time served in detention to a viol shall be applied as CFTS.</u> - Allows dispos outside the standard range to be subject to the review hearings when appropriate. A dispo with a term of conf 30 days or less or within the stand range is not appealable, but other dispos with a term of >30 days are appealable. - Adds restrictions to when the court may impose a dispo alternative under RCW 13.40.165 to incl <u>Child Mol 1, Hit & Run - Death, ROC 2, Rape 2/3, and offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction: A+ offense, sex offense (RCW 9.94A.030), Assault 1 committed at 16+ yrs, Drive-by Shooting committed at 16+ yrs or Kidnapping 1</u> 	Goodman Cortes	2/28/25 Ref'd to Rules 2/27/25 2nd sub passed by S W&M 2/17/25 1s sub passed by S HS

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p>committed at 16+ yrs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Eliminates option for court to impose dispo alt if juv is subject to commitment of 15-65 wks conf and imposing disp alt in a county with pilot program under RCW 13.40.169. —Requires that CFTS be applied to any term of conf incl to reduce both min and max terms of the prescribed range to which the youth has been committed. > RCW 13.40.165 - Expands eligibility for subst use disorder or MH disp alternatives to exclude <u>Child Mol 1, Hit & Run - Death, ROC 2, Rape 2/3, and offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction</u> only A+ offenses. > RCW 13.40.185 - Adds that juv court maintains concurrent juris with DCYF over cases where conf exceeds 30 days for the purposes of conducting review hearings. Juv with min conf of <60 days incl CFTS, the juv may be conf to a detention facility for up to 10 days after disp hearing. If DCYF determines release date would occur <60days, remaining conf maybe served in detention facility or EHM. - Excluding restricted offenses, juv court maintains concurrent juris over juv who is committed to DCYF and shall schedule review hearings every 6 mos of custody to assess progress > RCW 13.40.0357 - changes ineligibility criteria for suspended dispo Option B to only <u>Child Mol 1, Hit & Run - Death, ROC 2, Rape 2/3, and, offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction or a A+ offense,</u> sex offense (RCW 9.94A.030), Assault 1 committed at 16+yrs, Drive-by Shooting committed at 16+ yrs or Kidnapping 1 committed at 16+ yrs. - Changes eligibility criteria for Option C CD/MH dispo alt to exclude those adjudicated of <u>Child Mol 1, Hit & Run - Death, ROC 2, Rape 2/3, or an offense that falls under exclusive adult jurisdiction</u> A+ offense, sex offense (RCW 9.94A.030), Assault 1 committed at 16+yrs, Drive-by Shooting committed at 16+ yrs or Kidnapping 1 committed at 16+ yrs. > RCW 72.05.420 - Removes from criteria when DCYF shall initially place youth in comm facility (CF) when the youth has spent at least 10%, and no less than 30 days, in a secure institution. Removes restriction that juv's student records and info must be received and DCYF has reviewed them from being placed in a CF. Removes requirement that local LE be notified before youth is placed in CF. 		

**SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25**

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p>> RCW 13.40.210 - when DCYF sets release date, which incl CFTS, it must prioritize for youth who would serve 90 60 days under supv of DCYF.</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.215 - Eliminates requirement that CF shall provide written notice of juv's crim history to youth' school or employer.</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.230 - Creates criteria court of appeals must find to uphold a finding under RCW 13.40.160(1)(c).</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.308 - For adjudications for TMVWOP 1, Theft of MV, or Poss Stolen Veh, juv with prior CHS of 2+, the court must make the required finding under RCW 13.40.160(1)(c) for the juv to be sentenced to no less than 15-36 wks and adds that this commitment is subject to the review hearings.</p> <p>- For adjudications for TMVWOP 2, days in detention have been removed from the standard range sentence.</p> <p>> RCW 72.01.412 - adds exception to criteria excluding persons from being eligible for community transition services.</p> <p>> <u>New Section – adds null and void clause if not funded by 6/30/25.</u></p> <p>SGC Position: PRO</p>		
5296 SSB	Improving outcomes for individuals adjudicated of juvenile offenses by increasing opportunities for community placement options and refining procedural requirements.	<p>> RCW 13.40.160 - Changes that juvenile standard range dispositions are determined according to the juvenile sentencing grid (RCW 13.40.0357) and this section for <u>offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction. Murder 1/2, Rape 1, Assault 1 committed 16+ yrs, Drive-by Shooting committed at 16+ yrs, and Kidnapping 1 committed 16+ yrs.</u></p> <p>- Adds that, except for those offenses, prior to sentencing a juv to a standard range under Option A for a term exceeding 30 days, the court shall make an indep filing that commitment to an institution <u>DCYF</u> is needed because a community-based placement would not adequately protect the community. A stipulation along is not sufficient to support indep finding. Factors to be considered by court are listed.</p> <p>- If the court does not make a finding for commitment to <u>DCYF</u> an institution, the court may <u>impose one or more local sanctions, in addition to a determinate sent of place the youth on elec monitoring for up to min of standard range while on comm supv. The court may also impost a suspended disposition.</u></p> <p>- If the court does make a finding for commitment to <u>DCYF</u> an institution, the court</p>	Wilson, C	2/28/25 Ref'd to Rules 2/27/25 2nd sub passed by S W&M 2/17/25 1s sub passed52 by S HS

**SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25**

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p>must maintain concurrent juris over the youth, along with DCYF, <u>for the purposes of conducting and must hold review hearings as described in RCW 13.40.185(3) and any comm supv that is ordered if the juv is released at the review hearing.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>If sentenced to determinate sent of EM for up to juv's min standard range and violated any terms of EM, the court may sanction pursuant to RCW 13.40.200, or revoke the EM and order conf up to the remainder of the determinate EM sent previously imposed. Upon completion of sanction, juv may resume EM. Any time served in detention to a viol shall be applied as CFTS.</u> - Allows dispos outside the standard range to be subject to the review hearings when appropriate. A dispo with a term of conf 30 days or less or within the stand range is not appealable, but other dispos with a term of >30 days are appealable. - Adds restrictions to when the court may impose a dispo alternative under RCW 13.40.165 to incl <u>offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction: A+ offense, sex offense (RCW 9.94A.030), Assault 1 committed at 16+yrs, Drive by Shooting committed at 16+ yrs or Kidnapping 1 committed at 16+ yrs.</u> - Eliminates option for court to impose dispo alt if juv is subject to commitment of 15-65 wks conf and imposing disp alt in a county with pilot program under RCW 13.40.169. —Requires that CFTS be applied to any term of conf incl to reduce both min and max terms of the prescribed range to which the youth has been committed. > RCW 13.40.165 - Expands eligibility for subst use disorder or MH disp alternatives to exclude <u>offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction only A+ offenses.</u> > RCW 13.40.185 - Adds that juv court maintains concurrent juris with DCYF over cases where conf exceeds 30 days <u>for the purposes of conducting review hearings. Juv with min conf of <60 days incl CFTS, the juv may be conf to a detention facility for up to 10 days after disp hearing. If DCYF determines release date would occur <60days, remaining conf maybe served in detention facility or EHM.</u> - Excluding restricted offenses, juv court maintains concurrent juris over juv who is committed to DCYF and shall schedule review hearings <u>at the midpoint of the min range, provided the review does not occur until juv has served at least 4 mos at DCYF. every 6 mos of custody to assess progress</u> 		

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p>> RCW 13.40.0357 - changes ineligibility criteria for suspended dispo Option B to <u>offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction</u>, only A+ offense, or a sex offense (RCW 9.94A.030), Assault 1 committed at 16+yrs, Drive by Shooting committed at 16+ yrs or Kidnapping 1 committed at 16+ yrs.</p> <p>- Changes eligibility criteria for Option C CD/MH dispo alt to exclude <u>offenses that fall under exclusive adult jurisdiction</u> those adjudicated of A+ offense, sex offense (RCW 9.94A.030), Assault 1 committed at 16+yrs, Drive by Shooting committed at 16+ yrs or Kidnapping 1 committed at 16+ yrs.</p> <p>> RCW 72.05.420 - Removes from criteria when DCYF shall initially place youth in comm facility (CF) when the youth has spent at least 10%, and no less than 30 days, in a secure institution. Removes restriction that juv's student records and info must be received and DCYF has reviewed them from being placed in a CF. Removes requirement that local LE be notified before youth is placed in CF.</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.210 - when DCYF sets release date, which incl CFTS, it must prioritize for youth who would serve <u>90</u> 60 days under supv of DCYF.</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.215 - Eliminates requirement that CF shall provide written notice of juv's crim history to youth' school or employer.</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.230 - Creates criteria court of appeals must find to uphold a finding under RCW 13.40.160(1)(c).</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.308 - For adjudications for TMVWOP 1, Theft of MV, or Poss Stolen Veh, juv with prior CHS of 2+, the court must make the required finding under RCW 13.40.160(1)(c) for the juv to be sentenced to no less than 15-36 wks and adds that this commitment is subject to the review hearings.</p> <p>- For adjudications for TMVWOP 2, days in detention have been removed from the standard range sentence.</p> <p>> RCW 72.01.412\RCW 13.40.205 - adds exception to criteria excluding persons from being eligible for community transition services.</p> <p>SGC Position: PRO</p>		

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
1917 SHB	Concerning management of individuals who are placed in juvenile rehabilitation institutions.	<p>> RCW 13.40.020 - adds the definition of 'safe operational capacity' as the max number of youth who can reside in an institution according to RCW 13.40.460(9) in order to safely provide treatment aligned with juv justice standards.</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.460 - directs DCYF to develop rules related to the safe operational capacity (SOC) of institutions and, when doing so, must consider a specific list of conditions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - directs DCYF to take action to reduce institution pop when it exceeds 105 <u>110%</u> of the SOC before transferring youth to DOC, allowing DCYF to transfer youth to CF, <u>to transfer youth to an institution that does not exceed 105 110% SOC</u>, or to release person who has remained in conf for at least the min number of weeks of standard range. - directs DCYF to begin planning for methods to avoid exceeding the SOC in an institution when number of residents reaches 90% of SOC incl, notifying indiv who may be released or transferred to CF if SOC reaches 105 <u>110%</u>, <u>discuss with DOC potential placements and housing and consideration for early release options before indiv turns 25</u>, notifying indivi who may be transferred to DOC if SOC reaches 105 <u>110%</u>, review capacity of CF, <u>and notify courts that institutions have reached 90% SOC.</u> - <u>directs DCYF to notify county courts when SOC has reached 105 110% so that indiv convicted as adult for offense committed under 18, may be placed directly in DOC.</u> <p>> RCW 72.05.420 - allows youth to be released prior to spending 10% of sentence or prior to a risk assessment and security classification is completed when DCYF is making pop reductions to comply with SOC.</p> <p>> RCW 72.01.410 - allows youth convicted as adults to be initially placed at DOC if the DCYF facility is at/above 105 <u>110%</u> of SOC and youth is over age 21 with an ERD after age 26 <u>and to petition to be transferred to DCYF if SOC falls below 95% and there is >1 yr remaining on sentence.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Restricts DCYF from transferring an indiv to DOC until the indiv reaches age 25, unless one of a list of exceptions applies under New Section (6). a review board hearing finds an exception applies. - directs DCYF to review placement of indiv's who will remain in the custody of DCYF beyond age 21 or older to provide info to indiv regarding voluntary transfer to DOC. 	Callan	2/28/25 Ref'd to Rules 2/27/25 2nd sub passed H Approps 2/19/25 1st sub passed H EL&HS

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p>- Allows indiv under DCYF custody to be eligible for release by DOC if the indiv's ERD is within 6 mos after 26th birthday, indiv has not been deemed high risk to reoffend, and has not committed any serious infractions as defined by DCYF.</p> <p>> <u>New Section (6):</u></p> <p>*DCYF establishes before a review board established under RCW 13.40.280, that continued placement presents a significant risk;</p> <p>*any person who is at least 18 yrs may request to be transferred to DOC and the review board determines it is a knowing, voluntary and willing request.</p> <p>*<u>Until 7/1/31</u> after taking action to reduce the pop under RCW 13.40.460(10) to release or transfer indiv to CF, if the pop of the institution exceeds 105 <u>110</u>% of SOC, DCYF may identify a sufficient number of persons that must transfer to DOC, with priority given to the oldest indivs who have the longest terms of confinement that will be served after their 25th birthday.</p> <p>> <u>New Section - adds a null and void clause if not funded.</u></p> <p>SGC Position: CON</p>		

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
5278 2SSB	Concerning the management of individuals who are placed in juvenile rehabilitation institutions. emergency measures for managing juvenile populations at state juvenile correctional institutions.	<p>> New Section – Directs DCYF to promulgate rules establishing safe operational capacity of all juvenile correctional institutions and CF under its control.</p> <p>> New Section – Allows DCYF to transfer sufficient number of indiv from secure institution to CF when in residence exceeds 105% of rated bed capacity. Creates a list of indiv who may not be transferred.</p> <p>—When in residence pop of any secure juv correctional facility exceeds 105% and rehab goals cannot be met, the secretary shall transfer sufficient number of indiv to DOC. Creates a list of indiv who shall be transferred.</p> <p>> New Section – Allows indiv who is at least 18 hrs and is placed in a juv correctional facility may request to be transferred to DOC. Lists factors the DCYF shall consider for request.</p> <p><u>> RCW 13.40.020 - Adds definition for "Rated bed capacity".</u></p> <p><u>> RCW 13.40.460 - directs DCYF to promulgate rules related to rated bed capacity (RBC) and requires specific conditions to be included.</u></p> <p><u>- directs DCYF to take action to reduce institution pop when it exceeds 105% of the RBC before transferring youth to DOC, in descending order of priority: transfer youth from CF to CTS; transfer youth to CF or CTS.</u></p> <p><u>- directs DCYF to begin planning for methods to avoid exceeding the RBC in each institution when number of residents reaches 90% of RBC incl, notifying indiv who may be released or transferred to CTS or CF, discuss with DOC any early release options under Section 10 for indivs convicted of offenses before age 18, and notify juv court administrators, the legislature and gov of current RBC and plans to reduce capacity. DCYF shall send annual report to legislature and governor.</u></p> <p><u>> RCW 72.65.200 - With consent from DCYF, DOC may directly transfer indiv from custody of DCYF to custody of DOC in work release program if DCYF concludes pop exceeds 105% of RBC.</u></p> <p><u>> New Section - In accordance with RCW 13.40.460(10), allows DCYF to take actions outlined when in-residence pop of any institution exceeds 105% of RBC on a case-by-case basis.</u></p> <p><u>- allows DCYF to transfer indiv from CF to CTS when in-residence pop exceeds 105%.</u></p> <p><u>- allows DCYF to transfer sufficient number of indiv from secure institution to CF or CTS when in-residence exceeds 105% of RBC. Creates a list of indiv who may not be</u></p>	Braun	3/3/25 Placed on 2nd reading by Rules 2/27/25 2nd sub passed S W&M 2/17/24 1st sub passed S HS

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p><u>transferred to CF.</u></p> <p>> <u>RCW 72.05.420 - excludes indiv transferring to CF due to pop exceeding 105% of RBC from having to have served at least 10% of sentence.</u></p> <p>> <u>RCW 72.01.410 - allows indiv convicted of offense committed under age 18 to be placed at DOC if the DCYF facility is at 105% RBC and the indiv is >21 23 yrs at time of placement with an ERD after age 26.</u></p> <p><u>- DCYF shall not transfer to DOC until indiv reaches age 25 unless specific exceptions apply.</u></p> <p><u>- after exhausting any remaining transfer authority and the pop exceeds 120 105% of RBC and rehab goals cannot be met, DCYF, with consent of DOC, may transfer indiv to DOC.</u></p> <p>> RCW 13.40.280 - directs DCYR to establish rules for defining and developing an internal behavioral management infraction system.</p> <p>> New Section - allows an indiv convicted as an adult for offenses committed <18 yrs is eligible to be released by DOC if ERD is within 6 mos of 25th after 26th birthday, indiv has not been deemed high risk to reoffend, and indiv has not committed any serious infractions as defined by DCYF.</p> <p>- directs DCYF to begin identifying indivs who may be releases by DOC under this section.</p> <p>> New Section - act may be known as the juvenile rehabilitation overcrowding relief act (J-RORA).</p> <p>Adds that any assault or serious bodily harm to staff or inappropriate sexual relations between staff and incar indiv shall be deemed a significant safety risk for the purposes of considering retaining custody of indiv at DCYF.</p> <p>—Directs DCYF to transfer indiv under age 25 to DOC if there is sexual intercourse or contact between employee and indiv, unless indiv was a victim; the indiv assaults a DCYF employee or staff while performing official duties. Notes that hearing requirements under RCW 13.40.280 do not apply to indiv transferring to DOC under this subsection.</p> <p>> New Section—Allows juv at DCYF to be transferred to DOC if DCYF determines retaining indiv presents a significant safety risk.</p>		

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p>— Juv shall be transferred to DOC if DCYF believes that sexual intercourse or contact occurred between employee and indiv, unless the indiv was a victim, or the indiv assaults an employee or staff while performing official duties</p> <p>— Directs DOC to place transferred juv under age 18 in a housing unit that is separated from others who are 18+ until indiv reaches age 18. Indiv who reaches age 18 may remain in separate housing unit if DOC determines the indiv's needs and rehab goals would be better met, the housing unit would not be substantially affected by the continued placement of indiv.</p> <p>— Indiv under 18 may be placed in IMU or AdSeg containing indiv 18+ yrs if necessary for safety or security of indiv or staff. Juv will be kept physically separate from others at all times.</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.280 — Eliminates language requiring a hearing at DCYF for assaults made against staff that are reported to local LE.</p> <p>— Requires the following offenses to have a hearing by DCYF and families review board within 10 judicial working days: Prison riot or possession of any narcotic drug or CS, alcohol, cannabis, or other intoxicant, or cell phone or other form of electronic telecommunications device.</p> <p>— Adds the following convictions for when DCYF will hold a second hearing custodial assault, prison riot or possession of contraband and the indiv was not transferred to DOC after first hearing.</p> <p>SGC Position: CON</p> <p>Reason(s): The bill states that youth shall be deemed a significant safety risk if inappropriate sexual relations occurs between a staff person and a person in custody of DCYF. Custodial Sexual Misconduct 1 applies when perpetrator is an employee or contract personnel of a correctional agency and the victim is a resident of a state, county, or city adult or juvenile correctional facility. Those youth are not safety risks but victims of a crime. (Not preferred way to manage population)</p>		

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
5260 SB	Concerning emergency measures for managing juvenile populations at state juvenile correctional institutions.	<p>> New Section - allows any person who is at least 18 yrs and is in a facility operated by DCYF may request to be transferred to the custody of DOC. The requester shall have 3 days to reconsider the transfer and, if continued, will be transferred to DOC within 10 days.</p> <p>> Secretaries of DOC and DCYF shall develop a form and process.</p> <p>> New Section - Act to be known as the Youth Rehabilitation Pathways Act and takes effect immediately.</p> <p>SGC Position: CON</p>	Christian	<p>2/17/23 Exec session - no action taken</p> <p>2/3/25 S HS hearing @1:30p</p> <p>1/14/25 Ref'd to S HS</p>

Bill to Address

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
5426 SSB	Improving developmentally appropriate alternatives for youth outside the formal court process.	<p>> RCW 13.40.020 - adds that a successfully completed diversion under RCW 13.40.080 may not be considered part of criminal history and services includes restorative justice.</p> <p>> RCW 13.40.080 - does not allow a juv's parent/guardian to decline to enter into a diversion agreement on behalf of the juv and cannot prevent a juv from entering into a diversion agreement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - adds attendance at restorative justice session as a component of a diversion agreement. - extends the age in which a diversion agreement may extend from 18th to 21st birthday. - Adds to due process afforded to divertees and potential divertees that courts may not terminate a diversion agreement on or after the juv's 21st birthday and thereafter any pending information in the case diverted and any pending motion to terminate shall be dismissed with prejudice. - Eliminates language that the juv shall be advised that a diversion agreement shall constitute a part of their criminal history. - Adds that a diversion may not be entered into for an offense committed on or after the juv's 18th birthday. 	Wilson, C	<p>2/13/25 S W&M hearing @4p</p> <p>2/5/25 1st sub passed S HS</p>

SGC Legislative Bill Proposals
As of 3/6/25

Bill No.	Bill Title	Bill Summary as Relates to SGC	Sponsor	Committee
		<p>> RCW 13.06.030 - Includes programs and services developed for referred and diverted youth to consolidated juvenile services by DCYF.</p> <p>> New Section - directs DCYF to establish a grant program to support community-based programs that divert youth from entering or reentering the juv justice system, subject to availability of funds.</p> <p>> New Section - directs AOC in consultation with DCYF and WAJCA to develop common definitions, outcome measures, and data collections methods for information and formal juv diversion programs, subject to available funding.</p> <p>> RCW 2.56.032 - Directs AOC to deliver an annual statewide report to the Leg on specific details related to diversion agreements.</p>		
1110 HB	Vacating convictions for driving under the influence.	<p>> RCW 9.96.060 - Removes all current DUI, DUI-PC offenses, and any predicate DUI offenses from the list of reasons an applicant may not have the record of conviction for a misd or GM offense vacated</p> <p>> Adds new section allowing persons convicted of DUI, DUI-PC, or a predicate DUI offense to apply to sentencing court for a vacation of record of conviction of the offense if the applicant has not had a subsequent alcohol or drug-related traffic conviction anywhere within 5 yrs of the date of conviction.</p> <p>> Adds that these vacated DUI records qualify as 'prior offenses' under RCW 46.61.502(6) (felony DUI), 46.61.504(6) (felony DUI-PC) and 46.61.5055 (DUI) in a later criminal prosecution of RCW 46.61.502 or 46.61.504 if the later prosecution is within 15 yrs of the date of the conviction for the vacated conviction. In this case, the court may use the vacated conviction in determining a sentencing or whether the subsequent conviction qualifies as a felony under RCW 46.61.5055.</p> <p>> Adds that these vacated DUI records qualify as a prior conviction in determining a sentence for Veh Hom - DUI.</p>	Ortiz-Self Goodman	2/20/25 Ref'd to Rule 2 Review 2/17/25 1st sub passed H CS
1487 HB 5362 SSB	Concerning victims of crime act funding.	<p>> New Section - directs the legislature to include in the annual budget bill funding to be added to the funding received under the Federal Victims of Crime Act. Directs the OCVA to award funds with specific direction as to what it is to be used for.</p> <p>TABLED DISCUSSION</p>	Davis Dhingra	2/26/25 S W&M hearing @ 1:30p 2/6/25 1st sub passed S L&J