How you can respond to the poll questions:



Website:

You can respond at: PollEv.com/marylaskowsk720




Text:

You can text MARYLASKOWSK720 to 22333 to join the session, then you text a response.

VICTIM BLAMING LANGUAGE

- Unnecessary focus on the victim:
 - What the victim was wearing
 - What/if the victim was drinking
 - What time the victim was walking home
 - Whether the victim engaged in limited consensual sexual conduct or had previously had consensual sex with the perpetrator
 - Victim's previous partners
 - Whether victim had "engaged in" prostitution
- Accuser
- Victim confessed
- Juvenile prostitute/child prostitute



Derrick Rose Cleared Of All Wrongdoing In Rape Case

www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/derrick-rose-verdict_us_58063f0de4b0b994d4c18285

By Maxwell Strachan March 3, 5877521

A federal jury in Los Angeles ruled Wednesday in favor of <u>Derrick Rose</u> and co-defendants Ryan Allen and Randall Hampton over allegations they raped one of the New York Knicks guard's former partners in the summer of 2013.

The eight-member jury, which reportedly took less than <u>four hours</u> to reach its decision, cleared the three men of all charges related to the \$21 million lawsuit.

In a statement provided to the Associated Press, Rose said he was <u>thankful that the jury understood and agreed with me.</u>"

"This experience and my sensitivity to it was deep. I am ready to put this behind me and focus on my family and career," he added.

Don't see this every day. <u>@drose</u> and atty posing with giddy jurors after verdict. <u>#DoevRose</u> <u>pic.twitter.com/hbmxnPnyf6</u>

— Joel Rubin (@joelrubin) October 19, 2016

The decision concludes the two-week civil court trial against Rose and his co-defendants, in which the accuser alleged that the three friends gang-raped her while she was intoxicated on the night of Aug. 27, 2013. The defense did not dispute that the three men had sex with the accuser that night, instead arguing that the woman was cognizant at the time and that the sex was consensual.

The accuser's team faced an uphill battle in the case, as the jury was told merely determining the accuser had been intoxicated at the time of the incident was not enough to prove she couldn't consent to sex. Rather, as sports law attorney Daniel Wallach explained in a series of tweets, the jury had to determine whether she was so intoxicated that she could not provide consent. The jury also was allowed to consider any prior sexual activity that they determined to be relevant.

Here are the jury instructions on consent. $\underline{pic.twitter.com/MqtLEwSone}$

— Diana Moskovitz (@DianaMoskovitz) October 19, 2016

The accuser waited more than two years to file a civil suit against Rose and his friends and report the incident to police, a delay that meant there was no physical evidence, such as a rape kit, to examine during the trial. She said she delayed reporting the incident because she was "embarrassed" by the situation and didn't want to be the "reason" Rose went to prison, according to Mic.


The civil trial, initiated in August 2015, consequently had little in the way of physical evidence and focused instead on text messages exchanged by Rose and the accuser, as well as the testimony of the defendants, the accuser and her friends and co-workers.

The accuser's attorney <u>said in September</u> that Rose didn't even know how to define "consent" during his deposition. And during the trial, <u>two sisters who lived with the accuser</u> at the time said the accuser's mood changed dramatically after the alleged incident, and one of her former co-workers said she had told him the day after the incident that she had been raped, according to The New York Times.

But others said differently. One of the accuser's former friends, Gabriela Chavez, for example, testified that the accuser had told her she hadn't been raped after the incident. She "<u>lies about everything that comes out of her mouth</u>," Chavez said.

In the <u>closing arguments</u> on Tuesday, Rose's lawyer argued that the accuser was playing into black stereotypes and only wanted money, while the plaintiff's lawyer accused the defense of "<u>slut-shaming</u>" his client, according to Deadspin.

A separate criminal investigation into the accuser's allegations has yet to result in charges against Rose and his co-defendants. Rose is <u>expected to miss the remainder of the preseason</u> but to be back with the Knicks for the team's Oct. 25 season opener against the Cleveland Cavaliers.




LANGUAGE THAT IMPLIES CONSENT

- Eroticized language that creates an intimate and non-threatening scene.
- Statements that imply consent without the context of force (physical or emotional).
- Performed oral sex
- Fondling
- Sexual intercourse
- Had sex with
- Sexual relationship
- · Kissed, hugged, caressed
- Engaged in...

MINIMIZING LANGUAGE

- Passive voice:
 - "Creates acts without agents and harm without guilt."
- Consider the following:
 - Joe raped Jennifer.
 - Jennifer was raped by Joe.
 - Jennifer was raped.
- Euphemisms:
 - He said, she said case
 - Kiddie porn
 - Inappropriate touching
 - "Locker room talk"
 - Misunderstanding
 - Domestic dispute
 - Date rape
 - Revenge porn
 - Choked
 - Sex Scandal



KNT

Cause Number: ___07-

Police Department Certification for Determination of Probable Cause

That I, , am a Detective with the Police Department and I have reviewed and assisted with the investigation conducted under case(s) #: 07-

There is probable cause to believe that DEER, Lindy E. (06/07/54) has committed the crime(s) of:

1) Rape of a Child 3rd Degree (RCW 9A.44.079)

In the City of , County of King, State of Washington.

This belief is based on the following facts and circumstances:

I was assigned to investigate a Child Protective Services referral on 10/18/07. The referral stated that a sixteen year old student, identified as RR, from the

Academy located at had been involved in a sexual relationship with an older female. According to the referral, RR disclosed the relationship to another student during a mentoring discussion. RR told AE that he had been involved in the sexual relationship for the past year since he turned fifteen years old. RR told AE that the older female was Lindy Deer, his aunt's secretary. He said that he has had sexual intercourse with Deer approximately six times at Deer's home in the City of , King County.

Sometime around September or October 2006, RR spent the night at Deer's home again. Deer went to sleep in her bedroom while RR slept on the couch. During the night, RR went into Deer's bedroom and got into bed with her. RR was only wearing his underwear and he put Deer's hand onto his penis. RR eventually removed his underwear

and Deer held onto his penis with her hand. RR moved closer to Deer and she inserted his penis into her vagina. They had sexual intercourse for a few minutes until RR ejaculated inside of Deer. Deer then "acted" like she had just woke up while RR pretended that he was sleeping. Deer said to RR that she thought they just had sex, then went into the bathroom to clean herself up. The same thing occurred again in October 2006 except when RR returned to school, he told his juvenile girlfriend, TS, what had happened. About one week later, RR told Deer that he had told TS about their sexual experiences together. Deer was scared that TS would tell someone and she was afraid of what would happen. RR convinced Deer that TS would not tell anyone.

At the end of November 2006, after Thanksgiving, TS broke off her relationship with RR. RR called Deer and asked her to pick him up from school. Deer picked up RR and she comforted him. They engaged in kissing during the meeting and RR returned to school that evening.

Between April 2007 and May 2007, RR spent the night at Deer's home again and she performed oral sex on him. They also had "sleep sex" again, as described above.

RR turned sixteen years old the second week of June 2007. Deer was at RR's birthday celebration. Around the same time, RR had sexual intercourse with Deer two more times, once during the day at Deer's residence in her bedroom and once at Cox's residence in the laundry room. RR was uncertain on the dates of the two encounters but was certain that it occurred before 06/17/07 when he left for a Youth Challenge.

After RR turned sixteen years old, Deer continued to engage in sexual acts with him. RR described an encounter when Deer masturbated him until he ejaculated and he recalled kissing her at various times at Cox's residence throughout the summer. They engaged in sexual intercourse one last time on 09/29/07 at Deer's residence.

RR told AE about his experiences with Deer while they were studying on 10/15/07. RR was preparing to be baptized. AE brought RR to and RR told about his relationship with Deer. RR, AE, and provided me with signed written statements.

On 11/06/07, I spoke to Cox. She told me that had contacted her on 10/17/07. Cox met with and RR at the Academy and RR repeated to Cox what he had told and AE. Academy and RR repeated advised Cox to avoid letting Deer know anything about the disclosure until the police became involved.

On 11/07/07, Det. and I met with Deer at her residence at . Deer stepped outside her residence to talk to us and I advised her of rights. Deer verbally acknowledged and waived her rights. Deer slowly responded to my questions regarding her relationship to RR. Deer admitted that they had a relationship. When asked to describe the relationship, she stated that she helped RR and his family. When Deer was told that we were aware of her sexual relationship with RR, she replied that it was "on his

part". I asked her if that meant that RR initiated the sexual activity and she nodded her head yes.

was able to provide me with ten Leave Requests signed by Deer allowing RR to leave campus with her. Two of the leave requests were for overnight stays at Deer's residence on 08/01/06 and 10/06/07.

I have probable cause to believe that Deer committed Rape of a Child Third Degree by having sexual intercourse with RR while he was fifteen years old. Deer is over forty-eight months older than RR and is not married to him.

Under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, I certify that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Signed and dated 11/07/07 at , Washington.

	-	
Name and	date	