



STATE OF WASHINGTON
SEX OFFENDER POLICY BOARD

P.O. Box 43124 • Olympia, Washington 98504-3124 • www.sgc.wa.gov

SEX OFFENDER POLICY BOARD

October 4, 2021 1:00pm-4:00pm
Zoom Meeting

Members Present

Linda Farmer
Jimmy Hung
Susan Marks
Brad Meryhew
Hon. Maryann Moreno
Michael O'Connell
Jeff Patnode
Jedd Pelander
Terrina Peterson
Hon. Shawn Sant
Richard Torrance
Jamie Weimer

Members Absent

David Flynn

Members Represented by Proxy:

Mac Pevey (Donta Harper)

Staff:

Whitney Hunt

Guests: Megan Allen, King County Sexual Assault Resource Center; Devon Gibbs, King County Department of Public Defense; Katie Hurley, King County Department of Public Defense; Lorraine Lynch, King County Sexual Assault Resource Center; Joanne Smieja, WA Voices; Dr. Elena Lopez, DSHS BHA; Alex Mayo, WA Voices; Laura Martin, Snohomish County PDA; Brandon Williams, WA DOH; Michael Althaus, Washington Senate Democratic Caucus; Gina Romero, Airway Heights Corrections Center; Jennifer Williams, Department of Corrections; Norrie Gregoire, Washington Association of Juvenile Court Administrators; Julie Tran, Washington State Senate Committee Services; Mary Laskowski, Children's Justice Center of King County; Theodore Lewis, Department of Corrections; Paula Reed, CACWA; Corey McNally, WA DOC Sex Offender Treatment and Assessment Program; Josh Choate, AGO SVP Prosecution Unit Section Chief; Detective Chad Matthews, Registered Sex Offender Unit, Snohomish county Sheriff's Office; Sonja Hardenbrook, Snohomish County PDA

IMPORTANT NOTE: The recording for this meeting is available upon request.

Meeting Notes

Welcome & Call to Order

- **Whitney** reminded meeting participants to mute their microphones when not speaking and asked them to use the chat function through Zoom whenever they would like.

Whitney also reminded everyone that the meeting is being recorded and that recording is available upon request.

- **Brad Meryhew and Whitney** invited board members and guests to introduce themselves in the Zoom chat.

Approval of Minutes

Brad Meryhew asked the Board to approve the meeting minutes from August 19, 2021.

MOTION #21-6 MOTION TO APPROVE THE August 19, 2021 MEETING MINUTES.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Linda Farmer
- **ABSTAINED:** None
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendations Discussion and Voting

1. Establish a new program and funding system to provide treatment services to youth and families of Washington state for those affected by, and/or involved in, problematic and/or illegal sexual behaviors.
 - **Dr. O’Connell** recommended adding clarifying language that further explained who this replacement program would be for and speak to the program it’s replacing: SAY.
 - **Jamie** agreed and asked what would happen to the SAY program.
 - **Jedd** responded saying the SAY is limited to kids that are in foster care and this replacement would expand different funding streams for more kids in Washington.
 - **Jamie** asked for clarification. Is this truly a new program or, it is renaming and expanding an existing program?
 - **Jedd** stated it’s a new funding stream that would capture more youth that not criminally justice involved.
 - **Brad** and **Jedd** clarified and corrected the recommendation to say this would be a new funding stream in addition to and separate from the SAY program which currently serves a very limited number of youths.
 - **Jamie** agreed that this adjustment helps.
 - **Jeff** recommended adding language that clarifies the youth under SAY are also under the jurisdiction of DCYF.
 - **Brad** added this language to the recommendation.

MOTION #21-7 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 1.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jedd Pelander
- **ABSTAINED:** None

- **PASSED:** Unanimously
2. Develop a centralized and coordinated intake and referral response system for youth and families of youth who engage in problematic sexual behavior and are not criminally justice involved. Provide a non-judgmental and coordinated intake procedure that provides referral for services to both you who engage in problematic sexual behavior, their victims, and their family members.
 - **Jedd** provided background on this recommendation saying that there isn't much in the way of support in this area right now. Currently there is only information gathering for intake purposes.

MOTION #21-8 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 2.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Donta Harper
 - **ABSTAINED:** None
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
3. Removal of the following sentence from RCW 74.13.075: “This information shall be shared with relevant juvenile care agencies, law enforcement agencies, and schools, but remains confidential and not subject to public disclosure by those agencies.”
 - **Jedd** gave the background on the recommendation saying that this RCW is in reference to the SAY program and is seen as a potential barrier for families since it might hinder their engagement. This change would not be connected to mandatory reporting laws.

MOTION #21-9 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 3.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Jedd Pelander
 - **ABSTAINED:** None
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
4. Amend the DOH SOTP requirements outlined in RCW 18.155.020 to expand the type of providers who are eligible to be treatment providers for this population by allowing Licensed Mental Health Counselor Associates (LMHCAs), Licensed Independent Clinical Social Worker Associates (LICSWAs), and Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist Associates (LMFTAs) who have expertise and training in childhood sexual development, mental health and sexual behavior problems to provide treatment services to increase provider availability / ensure a sufficient supply of appropriate providers.

- **Brad** asked if this recommendation would make someone that was just an associate eligible for this.
 - **Jedd** responded saying yes, and added, the current amount of registered SOTPs is only 80, there are strict rules for being eligible, large fees, and more SOTPs retiring than there are new SOTPs coming into this role.
 - **Brad** stated this language may be too vague.
- **Brandon** stated that with this change someone with an associate level license holder would qualify.
 - **Jeff** asked if we knew how much this change would extend the pool of SOTPs.
 - **Brad** replied saying that is unquantifiable.
- **Corey** stated it seems that this would allow associates the equivalent treatment access as a fully certified provider which would skip the SOTP affiliate level. He recommended adjusting the language to differentiate between the two distinctions.
 - **Dr. Lopez** asked if this would put all of the liability on who chooses to supervise them since they aren't fully licensed. Would this require multiple supervisors?
 - **Brandon** stated the responsibility would lie on both the supervisor and the associate but, this is a case-by-case basis.

MOTION #21-10 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 4.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Donta Harper
 - **ABSTAINED:** None
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
5. Modify the DOH SOTP requirements in RCW 18.155.020 to allow SOTPs to supervise a up to four Affiliates, regardless of full-time or part-time status.
- **Jedd** states the current cap of Affiliates for Providers is two. Some Providers want the option to take on more Affiliates and four was the recommended amount.

MOTION #21-11 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 5.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Dr. O'Connell
 - **ABSTAINED:** None
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
6. Allocate funds for an organization, like WATSA, to provide trainings and/or other materials to SOTPs to expand the knowledge of treatment providers that includes

information around when providers can bill insurance appropriately for addressing problematic sexual behavior.

- **Jedd** stated that the subcommittee wanted this recommendation to allow more billing training for SOTPs as they heard from some SOTPs that there was some confusion around this topic.
- **Brad** stated that this topic was also being discussed among within the Treatment subcommittee group but is unsure if WATSA is where the funds should go.
 - **Dr. O’Connell** agreed.
- **Jamie** suggested this be reworded to say, “allocate funds to the DOH to establish a training program for SOTPs.”
 - **Brandon** stated the DOH does not have the ability to do that. That role belongs to the organization. They also don’t get involved with billing unless it’s fraudulent. That is referred to the Health Care Authority.
- **Brad** suggested the funds be given to the newly created SAY program.
 - **Dr. O’Connell** agreed.
- **Brad** asked if we needed a recommendation specifically for billing practices or if this can remain in #6
 - **Sonja** recommended moving it to #7.
 - **Jamie** agreed and added that the other two columns will need to be updated.

MOTION #21-12 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 6.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jedd Pelander
- **ABSTAINED:** None
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

7. Require any public and private health insurance company that waves to do business in Washington state to provide coverage for the treatment of sexual behavior problems in youth, whether they are prosecuted in the juvenile legal system or not and prohibit the exclusion of sexual misconduct from payment/reimbursement.
 - **Jedd** stated this recommendation may be a longshot, but the subcommittee would like to see it go to the Legislature. He also added that Oregon state has a similar model.
 - **Shawn** stated that if the state funds this, it needs to be done in way that doesn’t pass on additional mandates to insurance providers. We don’t want competition to leave the state.
 - **Brad** stated that this is mentioned in recommendation #1.

MOTION #21-13 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 7.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew

- **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
- **ABSTAINED:** Shawn Sant, Jamie Weimer
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

8. Identify and address discrepancies in statewide court systems for juveniles who are eligible for treatment.

- **Jedd** provided background on the recommendation stating the there are varying practices across counties for youth awaiting adjudication within some court systems. King County has a model that the subcommittee thinks should be looked into being widely adopted.
 - **Dr. O’Connell** asked in the chat how King County pays for this.
 - **Jimmy** stated it comes from the county’s general fund.
- **Jamie asked who will be identifying the discrepancies.**
- **Brad** asked **Jedd** is this is something JR could do.
 - **Jedd** and **Sonja** stated this is unlikely.
- **Terrina** recommending adding a component to item #1 for funding for kids that are in the beginning stages of the juvenile courts.
- **Jamie** expressed her concern with the added layer of complexity having this split up between items #1 and #8.
 - **Brad** stated they need to be separate because they’re talking about system involved youth and non-system involved youth.
 - **Jamie** recommended moving recommendation up in the item list to help make more sense of what these two items are trying to accomplish.
 - **Brad** and **Jedd** agreed with this suggestion and added that the SOPB will decide on where to place #8 after it’s been voted on.
 - **Whitney** made a note of this and will make the necessary adjustments to the list.

MOTION #21-14 MOTION TO APPROVE Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 2 with adopted new language.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
- **ABSTAINED:** None.
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

MOTION #21-15 MOTION TO APPROVE Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 1 with adopted new language.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
- **ABSTAINED:** None.
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

MOTION #21-16 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 8.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Jedd Pelander
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
9. Youth charged in the justice system with problem and/or illegal sexual behaviors should be provided with the opportunity to obtain some kind of treatment prior to sentencing regardless of how their sentence unfolds, though cannot be ordered to comply with treatment as a condition of release. SSODA funds should be offered and available to youth in the pre-adjudication process.
- **Brad** stated this recommendation it basically the same as #8 after the adjustments made to it and suggested withdrawing it from the list.
 - **Jedd** agreed.

MOTION #21-17 MOTION TO WITHDRAW Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 9.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
10. Recruit culturally/linguistically competent providers to work with youth who are adjudicated of sexual offenses as well as youth with problematic sexual behaviors.
- **Brad** and **Jedd** asked who would do the recruiting.
 - **Brandon** stated that, historically, this would fall to professional organizations.
 - **Brad** added language that speaks to encouraging professional organizations and the Sex Offender Treatment Provider Advisory Committee to develop these methods.

MOTION #21-18 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 10 as amended.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Dr. O'Connell
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
11. Recommend the Department of Health be empowered to reduce some of the extra costs associated with the licensure for Sex Offender Treatment Providers.

- **Jedd** stated that this is difficult because DOH is following what the RCW and statute says, they cannot just waive fees. We're looking for a way for the Legislature to subsidize these fees.
- **Brad** stated this is a way to help overcome barriers when entering or remaining in the treatment profession.
- **Terrina** suggested the new DCYF funding be allocated to a grant for SOTPs. We have to figure which agency could house this grant program.
- **Sonja** suggested we avoid the term "grant" as it can come with a lot of red tape and oversight. Perhaps use "funds" or something similar.
- **Brad** made the decision to pause discussion on this item for now. The SOPB moved on to recommendation #12.

12. Having a specialized funding stream for prevention efforts in public schools would be beneficial in preventing sexual abuse and other harmful behaviors.

- **Brad** noted that this item has been recommended by SOPB for 14 years.
- **Terrina** asked if this was part of the sex education bill from a few years ago.
 - **Brad** said it is a little different than just sex education but is okay with a little bit a redundancy.
 - **Susan** noted that the prevention bill was unfunded.

MOTION #21-19 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 12 as amended.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jedd Pelander
- **ABSTAINED:** None.
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendations Discussion and Voting

1. Extinguish Registration for Minors 14 and Younger.
 - **Jamie** stated that this recommendation is workable but the only pushback among the subcommittee was the age approval. They're organization would like to see age 14 at the time of offense. She added that they will hold their vote until they're organization can have appropriate time to discuss and decide.
 - **Brad** acknowledged this decision and encouraged taking the time allotted to discuss before casting their vote.

MOTION #21-20 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation Number 1.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jedd Pelander

- **ABSTAINED:** Jamie Weimer
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
2. Minors who are adjudicated of a sex offense committed when the minor was fifteen years of age or older and who are granted a Special Sexual Offender Dispositional Alternative (SSODA) under RCW 13.40.162 shall not be required to register as a sex offender so long as they have not had their SSODA sentence revoked for non-compliance.
 3. Minors who are adjudicated of offenses committed when the minor was fifteen years of age or older and who are granted a SSODA sentence but have that sentence revoked by the court for non-compliance shall be required to register as a sex offender after their release from confinement for a minimum of two years.
 - **Brad** stated recommendations #2 and #3 are a package deal in that they're meant to get stakeholders on board with the removal of SSODA for kids that are in compliance with their sentence. Kids that are revoked in their sentence would not be avoiding registration. Some concern is if this will have a disparate impact on kids of color or low-income families. The upcoming 8th recommendation is meant to help with this possibility.
 - **Jamie** stated that her and **Terrina** will be holding their votes for items #2 and #3 as they first need approval from their organization.

MOTION #21-21 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation Numbers 2&3.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
4. Minors of any age adjudicated of a subsequent sex offense committed after having been adjudicated on a first sex offense shall be required to register as a sex offender for a minimum of two years.

MOTION #21-22 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation Number 4.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode and Donta Harper
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
5. Minors who are required to register as a sex offender shall remain registered with authorities until they are relieved of that duty by the Court unless relieved of the duty pursuant to RCW 9A.44.140. A hearing shall be scheduled two years after the minor's

adjudication and the completion of any term of confinement to determine the minor's duty to register as a sex offender. At the end of the two-year period the Court shall terminate the duty to register unless the State files a motion to extend registration in advance of that hearing. Youth shall be entitled to public counsel at these hearings.

- **Brad** asked if the group was okay with removing the last part of the recommendation.
- **Jamie** and **Terrina** asked to use previous language used.
- **Brad** made the necessary changes accordingly.

MOTION #21-23 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation Number 5 as amended.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
- **ABSTAINED:** None.
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

6. We recommend that county juvenile courts establish procedures that facilitate and promote an opportunity for youth who are required to register as a sex offender to appear before the court to request relief from registration without the need for counsel. Where an expedited process is not available, minors should be entitled to publicly appointed counsel for these requests.
 - **Dr. O'Connell** asked if the person is over the age of 18 when this comes up, would they still go back to juvenile court.
 - **Brad** responded saying yes. That is the way it's done in Pierce County and many others.

MOTION #21-24 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation Number 6.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
- **ABSTAINED:** None.
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

7. Expand Alternative Community Placements for Minors. We recommend increased funding and resources should be devoted to developing alternative placements in the community for minors who are charged with or adjudicated of a sex offense and would otherwise qualify for a SSODA but lack an appropriate placement in the community. Often, minors who lack these resources and a placement will end up at JR rather than in the SSODA program regardless of eligibility and amenability to treatment.

- **Brad** stated that one problem that we spoke about earlier was the kids that are SSODA eligible that don't have the resources or support from their communities don't have many placement options.
- **Jamie** asked in the chat if this item could be included in the treatment recommendations for SSODA youth?
 - **Brad** stated yes, they could.
 - **Jedd** agreed, that would make sense.
 - **Jeff** stated this move would also be fiscally helpful.

MOTION #21-25 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation Number 7.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
8. The SOPB recommends that the population of minors that are required to register as a sex offender after implementation of this policy be studied to determine whether sex offender registration deters crimes or reduces recidivism rates, the benefits of sex offender registration to law enforcement investigations, community safety and any impact on reporting by victims, as well as the consequences affecting the successful reintegration of these minors into the community, and any economic or racial disproportionality resulting from this statutory change or continued sex offender registration. A referral to the Washington State Institute for Public Policy to conduct this research would be an appropriate way to do this.

MOTION #21-26 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation Number 8.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Dr. O'Connell
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
9. Persons convicted of Failure to Register for offenses committed as minors should not be convicted of felony offenses. We recommend the first offense for Failure to Register be a simple misdemeanor, and that subsequent offenses be gross misdemeanors. Add Failure to Register for an offense committed as a minor to the definition of Disqualifying Offenses in RCW 9A.44.128.

- **Brad** stated this recommendation came late to the table within the subcommittee but, it's something that defense attorneys see quite often. It did not get unanimous support but he would like to hear what other have to say.
- **Jamie** stated that her and **Terrina** are recommending that any failure to register would be a disqualifying offense.
 - **Brad** asked if that if we're reducing offenses they would still want disqualifying offenses?
 - **Terrina** responded yes.
 - **Jedd** clarified that any conviction would restart their time for relief.
 - **Terrina** responded yes.
- **Katie** asked in the chat "can we clarify that a misd only counts as disqualifying if it relates to a youth adjudication."
- **Terrina** asked how many kids actually get a failure to register that are under 18?
 - **Jimmy** stated he can't recall the last time they had that charge.
 - **Jedd** stated they only had 1 out of 55 youth within DCYF get a failure to register.
 - **Katie** stated they only had 1 in King County court but it's very rare.
- **Terrina** asked why even have this recommendation if we're going to make it easier for them to get off in two years anyway?
- **Jedd** stated that the research shows that they can be 10 years past their conviction as a juvenile and still be required to register as an adult. He supports this recommendation as it's written.
- **Sonja** stated that what they see on the defense side is, clients as juveniles, had an adjudication and spent a short amount of time, if any, in custody and then 5 years on a failure to register. This costs a lot of money for taxpayers.
- **Brad** recommended we pause discussion on this for now a comeback to it later.
 - The group agreed.

Juvenile Legal and Legislative Best Practices Subcommittee Recommendations Discussion and Voting

1. Add a New Section to RCW Chapter 9A.44 that adds "committed as a minor" to the Offense Title for any offense defined as a sex offender in RCW 9.94A.030 or RCW 9A.44.128 when the offense is committed by an individual under the age of 18.

MOTION #21-27 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Legal and Legislative Best Practices Subcommittee Recommendation Number 1.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
- **ABSTAINED:** None.
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

2. Exempt Rape First Degree and Rape of a Child First Degree Committed by a Minor 16 or 17 years old from Automatic Decline to Adult Court.
3. Add Rape of a Child First Degree to Offenses Eligible for Discretionary Decline Hearings.
4. Increase Rape First Degree to A++ Disposition Category
 - **Brad** stated that items #2, #3, and #4 work together as a package.
 - **Jamie** and **Terrina** stated that they will be holding their vote at this time as many folks on their end have many more questions about the language used in this package before they can cast their vote or not.
 - **Shawn** clarified that his support would be contingent on the Rape 1 be looked at beyond the age of 21 to 25.
 - **Brad** responded saying that condition is included in item #4.

MOTION #21-28 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Legal and Legislative Best Practices Subcommittee Recommendation Numbers 2, 3 & 4.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
- **ABSTAINED:** None.
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

5. Sealing of Records for Sex Offenders Committed as a Minor and Prosecuted in Adult Court
 - **Terrina** clarified that the same rules in 13.50.260 subsection 4 apply here.
 - **Jimmy** stated that since this only referring to sealing it would be as though had if it had been reported and charged when the person would have had the benefit of juvenile jurisdiction, this will be like trying to give a person that's charged and convicted as an adult the same opportunity at sealing as when they would have been when a juvenile.
 - **Brad** responded yes.
 - **Jimmy** stated this seems fair.
 - **Brad** stated this would change the waiting period from 5 to 2 year wait consistent with the sealing requirement.
 - **Terrina** stated that as it stands right now, if a person was convicted in juvenile court of a sex offense, whether it's a Class A or not, they would still have to wait 5 years.
 - **Dr. O'Connell** agrees with **Terrina's** proposal to include the language from 13.50.260.

MOTION #21-29 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Legal and Legislative Best Practices Subcommittee Recommendation Number 5 as amended.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
 - **ABSTAINED:** Linda Farmer, Donta Harper, Jimmy Hung, Shawn Sant, Jamie Weimer,
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
6. Person The SOPB recommends that person-first language be incorporated into newly written statutes and in every-day written and verbal communications in regard to minors who have committed sexual offenses.
- **Jamie** stated that the shorter recommendation was the preferred language within the subcommittee to be presented to the Legislature.
 - **Brad** made this change.

MOTION #21-30 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Legal and Legislative Best Practices Subcommittee Recommendation Number 6 as amended.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Dr. O’Connell
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
- **Jamie** asked if the recommendations for public disclosure that were discussed were still on the table to be included here.
 - **Brad** stated yes, they’re still on the table, he was hoping for a short rationale and recommendations to be dealt with first.
 - **Jamie** stated she will find the report she typed up and share it with the SOPB for a vote, possibly by email.

Follow-up on Tabled Recommendations

- Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation #11
 - **Dr. O’Connell** stated perhaps we just present this as something that needs attention.

MOTION #21-31 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Treatment Subcommittee Recommendation Number 11 as a data point in the report but WITHDRAWN as a formal recommendation.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
 - **SECONDED:** Jeff Patnode
 - **ABSTAINED:** None.
 - **PASSED:** Unanimously
- Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation #9.

- **Brad** clarified that as long as this remains a disqualifying offense for automatic termination this isn't objectionable for Jamie and Terrina?
 - **Jamie** confirmed it would make it a lot easier to bring back to their people. However, their vote would still be reserved at this time.

MOTION #21-31 MOTION TO ADOPT Juvenile Sex Offender Registration and Notification Subcommittee Recommendation Number 9.

- **MOVED:** Brad Meryhew
- **SECONDED:** Dr. O'Connell
- **ABSTAINED:** Jamie Weimer
- **PASSED:** Unanimously

Next Steps

- **Whitney** reviewed the upcoming meeting dates and asked the board to submit vote updates by the Wednesday, October 6 deadline.

For the Good of the Order

- Nothing to add.

Meeting Adjourned at 4:50 pm

APPROVED AND ADOPTED BY THE SEX OFFENDER POLICY BOARD

_____/s/_____
Chair Brad Meryhew

__10/28/21__
Date